

2006 Annual Report Card











The Annual Reporting Process

The annual watershed restoration reporting process was developed by the AWMC's Anacostia Restoration Potential Workgroup (ARPW). It is based on the Anacostia Watershed Restoration Indicators and Targets adopted by the Anacostia member jurisdictions on December 3, 2001 and ensures that annual progress toward the 2010 goals is monitored, and that related information is compiled and disseminated to all interested Anacostia stakeholders. It also calls for the biennial convening of signatories (i.e., Leadership Council).

To facilitate systematic and consistent reporting from year to year, the ARPW developed a numerically-based scoring system. The adjacent figure graphically depicts the maximum number of points possible for each of the six restoration goals, as well as the overall restoration progress level, which is measured on a scale of 0-108 points.

The responsibility for generating the annual restoration progress report and report card rests with the ARPW, which solicits and receives input from key environmental, business, citizen groups, and organizations active in the watershed restoration effort. The report card, while necessarily limited to the restoration highlights, reflect this input and summarize restoration progress made during Calendar Year 2006.











For Calendar Year 2006, the overall Anacostia effort received a total score of 56.1 points, placing restoration progress within the 'Good' range.

Restoration Goal		Maximum Points
	1. Reduce Pollutant Load	29
	2. Restore Ecological Integrity	29
	3. Improve Fish Passage	9
	4. Increase Wetland Acreage	9
	5. Expand Forest Cover	10
	6. Increase Public and Private Participation	22
Progress Level	Point Total	Interpretation
	Excellent 81.1-108.0	Exceeding restoration target and schedule
	Good 54.1 - 81.0	Meeting restoration target and schedule
	Fair 27.1 - 54.0	Partially meeting restoration target and schedule
	Poor 0.0 - 27.0	Not meeting restoration target and schedule

Key ARPW recommendations to the Anacostia Partnership through 2010 are as follows:









- C**ontinue annual funding support towards the operation of both USGS Northwest and Northeast Branch water quality monitoring stations;
- I**nstall an additional automated water quality multi-probe for the tidal river portions at New York Avenue Bridge.
- C**ommit additional funding and resources to better meet the 'stormwater area controlled' annual target;
- C**omplete the Goose Management Plan;
- C**ommit to annual Amphibian Monitoring at two permanent stations per jurisdiction
- C**ontinue to annual Bacterial Monitoring per subwatershed
- E**xpand biomonitoring sampling program to include Clam monitoring bioassay for EPA priority pollutants and
- P**erform tidal river game fish tissue analysis once every five years.


Anacostia Watershed Restoration Report Card for Calendar Year 2006

Indicator and Maximum Point Value (pts)	Significance	2006 Condition/ Progress	2010 Target	2006 Point Score	
Goal 1 – Reduce Pollution Loads (29 pts total)					
1a. Total Suspended Solids (annual load to the river) (TSS)  3		Indicative of levels of eroded soil particles and organic material present in the water column. Cuts down on light penetration; can damage gills of fish and other aquatic organisms.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tidal River average TSS level = 28.5 mg/l • SWM area controlled = 33.5 acres • Stream Length Restored < 0.1 mile 	TMDL = 85% reduction of the baseline load (Note: 80 mg/L was an interim target for 2000-2005).	1.0 (Low)
1b. Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO)  3		Indicative of water pollution including human sewage, chemicals, bacteria and soil.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CSO events discharged approximately 5.4 million gallons into the Anacostia (source = DC WASA 2006) • LTCP implementation continues. 	40% reduction in overflow by 2008 98% reduction in overflow by 2025	3.0 (High)
1c. Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen (annual load to the river) 3		Two main nutrients contributing to algal blooms.	Tidal River average nutrient levels: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total P = not reported • Total N < 1.0 mg/l • See Goal 1a 	40% reduction per Chesapeake Bay Agreement.	1.0 (Low)
1d. Fecal Coliform Concentration/ Bacterial Contamination  3		Indicative of presence of animal or human waste and water contact safety level.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tidal River average fecal coliform level = 419.2 MPN. • LTCP implementation continues • WSSC Consent Decree monitoring and line repair begins 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Per DC-WASA's LTCP 98% reduction for tidal river by 2025. • Tributary compliance w/ water quality standards 75% of time. 	1.1 (Medium)
1e. Dissolved Oxygen (DO) May – Oct. levels.  3		Levels > 5 mg/l generally needed to maintain healthy aquatic communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percent of time < 2.0 mg/L = 0 %. • No major fish kills. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • < 2 mg/l 0% of the time. • No major fish kills. 	2.1 (High)
1f. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (annual load to the river) 3		Measure of amount of oxygen consumed by decomposition of organic matter.	Tidal River average BOD ₅ < 3.0 mg/L. (Note – currently no tidal river BOD loading data)	75% loadings reduction interim target (2008 TMDL target = 61% baseline reduction)	1.5 (Medium)
1g. Secchi Depth 3		Measure of water clarity and nutrient enrichment levels.	Tidal River average secchi depth ~ 0.46 m.	> 1.0 m	0.8 (Low)
1h. Trash Index and Quantity of Trash Removed  2		Indirect indicator of environmental stewardship level and watershed quality. Direct indicator of aesthetic quality and potential recreational use.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highly variable; generally in 'moderate' or 'high' index categories. • Approx. 610 tons removed by DCWASA (2005 approx. = 550.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Watershed trash levels in the 'light' range; • Decreasing trend level(s) in annual river tonnages removed. 	0.7 (Medium)









 = 'Leading Indicator' for general public.



Indicator and Maximum Point Value (pts)	Significance	2006 Condition/ Progress	2010 Target	2006 Point Score
i. Toxics and Metals (annual load to the river) 3	A major stressor on aquatic life. Can bioaccumulate in tissue of fish and other aquatic organisms.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final 6-Months Comparative Validation of Innovative "Active Capping" Report See 1a 	Elimination of all fish consumption advisories.	1.2 (Medium)
l.j. Chlorophyll 'a' 3	Measure of algal population densities.	No tidal river data reported	Mesotrophic levels. <7.0 ug/L	1.5 (Medium)
Sub Total Score: 13.9 Verbal Ranking: Fair				
Goal 2: Restore Ecological Integrity (29 points)				
2a. Temperature 3	Controls the metabolic rate in fish and other aquatic life.	Summer monitoring shows violations on 34 days in Good Hope Tributary (Maryland Use III waters; Violation occurs at stream temperatures ≥ 68 degrees F).	Meet W. Q. standards (100%).	1.2 (Medium)
2b. Turbidity 3	Measure of amount of light scattering particles in water.	Tidal River average turbidity = 49 NTU.	< 50 NTU average (Note: 50 NTU will be revised to reflect DC TMDL target).	1.5 (Medium)
2c. Deformities, Erosions, Lesions, Tumors (DELTs)  3	 Indicative of chronic water pollution conditions associated with the presence of hydrocarbons, metals, pesticides, etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tributary DELTs < 1% Tidal river DELTs – range 41.5% to 48.8% of brown bull heads caught (an increase from previous year) 	$\leq 2-3$ % of fish community.	1.6 (medium)
2d. pH 3	Measure of a waterbody's acidity or alkalinity level.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tidal River average pH = ~ 7.5 (meets DC Class "C" standard). Average Tributary pH = ~ 7.4 	Meet W. Q. standards (100%)	2.3 (High)
2e. Macroinvertebrate Community Health  3	 Leading indicator of overall stream quality. Aquatic 'bugs' provide insight into a stream's physical, chemical, and biological condition.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highly variable; generally in the poor to fair range. No Tidal River IBI data for 2006. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> > 'fair' for all subwatersheds; Decrease in % dominant taxon for tidal river. 	1.3 (Medium)
2f. Resident Fish Community Health  3	 Leading indicator of general stream quality, particularly for larger streams and rivers.	Highly variable. Generally in the fair to good range.	Increasing numerical IBI scores throughout.	1.5 (Medium)
2g. Stream Miles Restored  3	 Measure of restoration progress. Improves both physical aquatic habitat and can reduce downstream sediment and pollutant loads.	Total stream length restored, 0.1 miles (Note: Annual target is 2.2 miles).	15 – 20 additional miles	0.6 (Low)






 = 'Leading Indicator' for general public.



Indicator and Maximum Point Value (pts)	Significance	2006 Condition/ Progress	2010 Target	2006 Point Score	
2h. Submerged Aquatic Vegetation (SAV) 3		Indicative of improving water quality and habitat in the tidal river.	• Total SAV acreage = 0 ac. (Note: annual target is an increase in 2.2 acres).	Approx. 15 – 20 acres total.	0.0 (Low)
2i. Percent Impervious Surface in the Watershed 1		Indicative of local stream and subwatershed quality and general pollutant loads.	• Database needed for tracking imperviousness changes at subwatershed level in development.	Increase in watershed acreage featuring Low Impact Development Techniques (LID).	0.4 (Medium)
2j. Percent of Developed Land w/SWM Controls  3		Measure of restoration progress and ability to reduce downstream water quantity and quality problems.	Total stormwater management area controlled = 33.5 acres (Note: annual target = 1,088 acres (1.7 mi ²)).	Approx. 25 – 30% of older watershed areas controlled. (Approx. 15 additional mi ²)	1.1 (Medium)
2k. Recreational Use 1		Indirect indicator of both improving watershed quality of life conditions and public perception.	• No major change in fishing and boating trends compared to 2005. • Variable, but generally increasing trends in park visitations.	Increase in multiple categories (e.g., fishing, boating, etc.).	1.0 (High)
Sub Total Score: 12.5 Verbal Ranking: Fair					
Goal 3 – Improve Fish Passage (9 pts total)					
3a. Percent Historical Anadromous Fish Spawning Range Open  3		Reflects progress made in restoring river herring and other migratory fish species to the historical ranges.	Number of stream miles opened in 2006 = 2.0 (Note: annual target = 2.2 miles).	Approx. 20 additional stream miles.	2.5 (High)
3b. Percent Anadromous Fish Habitat Utilization 3		Indicative of quality of habitat present and strength of herring runs.	• 15.5 miles opened since 2000 • Generally weaker runs compared to 1999-2004 period	100% utilization of available habitat (approx. 30 miles).	0.5 (Low)
3c. Percent Tributary Mainstems and Major Feeder Streams Open to Resident Fishes 3		Indicative of return to a more natural open stream system.	Number of stream miles opened in 2006 = 2.0	• 100% of all mainstem areas. • 100% of all 'priority' tributaries.	1.5 (Medium)
Sub Total Score: 4.5 Verbal Ranking: Fair					





 = 'Leading Indicator' for general public.



Indicator and Maximum Point Value (pts)	Significance	2006 Condition/ Progress	2010 Target	2006 Point Score
Goal 4 – Increase Wetland Acreage (9 pts total)				
4a. Created/Restored Tidal Wetland Acreage ☞ 3		Reflects progress made toward regaining lost tidal emergent wetland. Indicator of improving fish and wildlife habitat conditions and rivers' 'filtering' abilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bladensburg wetland construction completed - 2.5 acre • Heritage Island Marsh completed 5 acre marsh, 3 acre tidal gut system 	Approx. 50 – 60 additional acres of emergent wetland. 2.0 (Medium)
4b. Created/Restored Non-Tidal Wetlands ☞ 3		Reflects progress made toward restoring lost non-tidal wetlands, wildlife habitat and, ecosystem filter indicator.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No projects completed or in design • One Vernal Pool meeting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15 – 20 new projects; • Approx. 10 – 15 additional acres. 0.3 (Low)
4c. Wildlife Utilization of Wetlands 3		Indicator of habitat quality and ability to support amphibians, reptiles, birds, and other wildlife.	Final year for USGS wetland monitoring study	Develop Anacostia-specific IBI by end of 2002. 2.0 (Medium)
Sub Total Score: 4.3 Verbal Ranking: Fair				
Goal 5 – Expand Forest Cover (10 pts total)				
5a. Miles of Created Riparian Forest ☞ 3		Riparian or streamside forests help protect rivers and streams from sediment, fertilizers, and other pollutants washing off the land, while also regulating water temperature and providing optimum habitat for both plant and animal communities.	Miles of created riparian forest = 0.3 miles (2.0 acres) Note: annual target = 2.0 miles (4.6 acres).	10 – 12 additional miles and approx. 40 – 45 more acres. 1.1 (Medium)
5b. Percent Adequate Riparian Buffer 2		Indicative of buffer widths present and general ability to provide water quality and wildlife habitat benefits.	Anacostia Forest Management and Protection Strategy Completed	Develop watershed-wide buffer criteria by 2002. 2.0 (High)
5c. Acres of Mature Hardwood Forest 2		Measure of both remaining High quality wildlife habitat and ecologically critical areas.	Anacostia Forest Management and Protection Strategy Completed	Develop watershed Forest Management Protection Strategy by 2002. 2.0 (High)
5d. Acres of Created Upland Forest 1		Indicative of both wildlife habitat and potential watershed quantity and quality benefits.	Anacostia Forest Management and Protection Strategy Completed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inventory by 2002 • Ditto 5c 0.2 (Low)
5e. No. of Upland, Riparian and Total Forest as Percent of Watershed Area 2		Represents total forested area remaining in watershed as a % of area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See 1a • Forest cover ~ 29.6 % • Upland Forest cover ~ 23.5% 	Ditto 5a – d 1.5 (High)
Sub Total Score: 6.8 Verbal Ranking: Good				







☞ = 'Leading Indicator' for general public.




Indicator and Maximum Point Value (pts)	Significance	2006 Condition/ Progress	2010 Target	2006 Point Score
Goal 6 – Increase Public and Private Participation (26 pts total)				
6-1 Usage				
6-1a. No. of Anglers 1	 Recreational use and public perception indicator. Indirect measure of improving watershed conditions.	No DATA	Watershed-wide increase.	0.6 (Medium)
6-1b. No. of Fishing Piers and Use 1	Recreational use indicator.	No new Pier Bladensburg Waterfront Park and Lake Artemesia piers receiving moderate to high use	Construction of 2 – 3 piers.	0.3 (Low)
6-1c. D.C. Anacostia Hiker-Biker Trail and Use 1	Recreational use and watershed ‘connectivity’ indicator.	Anacostia Waterfront Initiative hiker biker trail in planning stage. Planned improvements to hiker biker trail opposite Dueling Creek	Complete linkage w/M-NCPPC system.	1.0 (High)
6-1d. Colmar Manor/ Bladensburg Footbridge 1	Hiker-biker trail system and recreation facilities ‘connectivity’ indicator.	Completed	Construct footbridge.	1.0 (High)
6-1e. No. Public Boat Ramps 1	 Measure of river water sport accessibility.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No new boat ramps • Arboretum boat ramp discussion continues 	3 – 4 additional small-boat ramps.	0.6 (Medium)
6-1f. No. of Boat Houses 1	Indicator of river usage.	No new boat houses	3 – 4 additional.	0 (Low)
6-1g. No. of Annual Regattas 1	Tidal river public participation indicator.	Five regattas held	2 – 3 additional/yr.	1.0 (High)
6-1h. Annual Park Visitation 1	Measure of recreational use and visitation in the watershed.	Annual park visitation generally increasing from 2005	Increase throughout.	0.8 (High)
6-2 Stewardship Indicators				
6-2a. School Activities  2	 Direct measure of effectiveness of Anacostia outreach and stewardship initiatives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M-NCPPC (PG) programs reached ~6,500 students. • AWS reached 1,488 students 	Increase in all subwatersheds.	1.4 (High)
6-2b. ARBC Membership 1	Increase business participation throughout watershed.	No Activities	Expand into P.G. and Mont. Co.	0 (Low)
6-2c. AWRC- University Partnerships 1	Direct measure of the level of engagement of institutions of higher learning in restoration effort.	UMD LSU UDC GMU	Expand opportunities in all 3 jurisdictions.	1.0 (High)

 = ‘Leading Indicator’ for general public.



Indicator and Maximum Point Value (pts)	Significance	2006 Condition/ Progress	2010 Target	2006 Point Score	
6-2d. Anacostia School Web Linkages 1		Direct measure of accessibility to Anacostia restoration information.	AWS ~ 37 schools DDOE continues with four school education and outreach programs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Link all public schools; • Create 'partner' school program 	0.5 (Medium)
6-2e. Stewardship Events 2		Direct measure of physical participation in restoration activities such as tree plantings, stream cleanups, storm drain stenciling, invasive plant control, kiosk building, etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AWS river cleanup • FOSC Sweep the Creek and outreach events • BCWWG Trash Survey and cleanup • LBC cleanup • Pope Branch stream cleanup and outreach events 	Increase throughout.	1.7 (High)
6-2f. Revitalization Expenditures 2		Direct measure of commitment by both government and private interests.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Washington National Stadium planning/design • Hyattsville traditional downtown center planning 	Increase throughout.	2.0 (High)
6-3 Advocacy					
6-3a. Active "Friends of" Groups  1		Measure of community involvement in the restoration effort and environmental advocacy.	Active subwatershed groups in Sligo Creek, Northwest Branch, Paint Branch, Little Paint Branch, Indian Creek, Beaverdam Creek, Still Creek, Pope Branch, Lower Beaverdam Creek and Watts Branch	Establish in every major subwatershed.	0.7 (Medium)
6-3b. Media Coverage 1		Indirect measure of interest in Anacostia restoration-related activities.	>25 events	Increase and promote more positive image	0.7 (Medium)
6-3c. Herring Festival 1		Indirect measure of community involvement.	No progress.	Annual event.	0 (Low)
6-3d. Government by Example 1		Direct measure of government's willingness to lead and become 'greener.'	LID demonstration projects, stream restoration, mechanical trash reduction measures, curbside recycling, nutrient management and mass transit.	Expand current efforts.	0.6 (Medium)
6-3e. Restoration Expenditures 1		Direct measure of the 'bottom line.'		Increase in all 3 jurisdictions.	0.2 (Low)
Sub Total Score: 14.1 Verbal Ranking: Good					

 = 'Leading Indicator' for general public.



Summary 'Report Card' for Calendar Year 2006

Summary: Anacostia Restoration Report Card, 2001-2010										
Goal No.	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
1. Reduce Pollution Loads	18.0	12.8	13.4	14.3	14.1	13.9				
2. Restore Ecological Integrity	18.3	14.3	13.6	14.7	14.5	12.5				
3. Improve Fish Passage	4.8	7.5	2.3	3.1	3.8	4.5				
4. Increase Wetland Acreage	5.9	5.2	6.2	3.0	3.4	4.3				
5. Expand Forest Cover	6.4	5.4	4.5	4.8	6.8	6.8				
6. Increase Public and Private Participation	9.3	11.2	14.1	12.2	13.8	14.1				
Total Points	62.7 Good	56.4 Good	54.1 Good	52.1 Fair	56.4 Good	56.1 Good				

Total Point Interpretation:

- ≥ 81.0 = Excellent (i.e., exceeding restoration target and schedule)
- 54.1 – 81.0 = Good (i.e., meeting restoration target and schedule)
- 27.1 – 54.0 = Fair (i.e., partially meeting restoration target and schedule)
- 0 – 27.0 = Poor (i.e., not meeting restoration target and schedule)